PROGRAM

(1) We have agreed that the most direct approach to our objective is the exhibition of our work. We shall show together at least once a year, making it constantly our effort to have our annual, or more frequent, exhibitions present in a dignified and competent way all the significant "abstract" work done in America. There is no limitation upon our members showing with other groups. On the contraty, we wish to encourage our members to form separate discussion and exhibition groups which may each have a more unified character than our general organization.

(2) American Abstract Artists will provide opportunities for group discussion and experimentation among its members.

(3) In addition to such publicity as attends our exhibitions, we shall undertake when possible a broader and more general type of educational publicity, such as a magazine, a yearbook, pamphlets or public lectures.

American Abstract Attists invite into an Associate Membership those individuals who do not wish, or who are not entitled, to exhibit with us, but who otherwise are in sympathy with our general purpose.

AMERICAN ABSTRACT ARTISTS

ĠĖNERAL PROSPECTUS

GENERAL PURPOSE

Our purpose is to unite American "abstract" artists, (1) to bring before the public their individual works, (2) to foster public appreciation of this direction in painting and sculpture, (3) to afford each artist the opportunity of developing his own work by becoming familiar with the efforts of others, by recognising differences as well as those elements he may have in common with them.

CHARACTER OF THE GROUP

We believe that a new att form has been established which is definite enough in character to demand this unified effort. We recognize, however, the need for individuals to experiment and deviate at times from what may seem established directions. For this reason we place a liberal interpretation upon the word "abstract", a word which we moreover recognize as neither adequate nor accurate. What we desire is a broad inclusive organization of all artists in this country who have produced work which is sufficiently in character with this liberal conception of the word "abstract". We invite into our membership the best known "abstract" artists in America, as well as those who are totally unknown. We invite also the members of any other similar groups, assuring them that to join with us does not conflict with their own group relationships.

MEMBERSHIP

Full members of American Abstract Artists bind themselves to contribute a certain sum each month (at present this amounts to 50 cents a month) to defray current organization expenses. The sum of \$5 from each member is expected to cover the costs of hiring a gallery for the forthcoming exhibition, and a further sum of about \$5 each should cover the necessary outlay for publicity. (These amounts may vary as the group membership varies. All members are expected to contribute exactly the same amounts.)

ASSOCIATE MEMBERSHIP

Associate Members of American Abstract Artists bind themselves to contribute only the same regular monthly dues (see above) as do the full members, and are entitled to take part in all cultural, intellectual, literary or educational activites of the group on the same basis as full members. They may not exhibit unless they become full members. They will also have no vote in business meetings of the organization for deciding policies, programs, activities, finances, etc., of the group.

NEW MEMBERS

It is the express desire of American Abstract Artists to include within its membership as broad and representative a number of painters and sculptors, working in the "abstract" direction, as possible.

Since the so-called "abstract" movement has, however, grown to far greater proportions, and enlisted a far greater number of American artists and students than most of us are aware of, it was felt that, to ensure a certain standard of clarity of statement, achievement, unity, etc., in the public exhibitions, some sort of control must be excercised. It was therefor decided that artists whose work was not well known to a majority of the present group, but who would like to join, be asked to submit examples of their best work, (preferably finished paintings, or photographs in the case of sculptors or out-of-town painters) and these works would be passed upon by secret ballot by a majority of those present at a meeting consisting of at least two thirds of the entire membership.

PRESENT MEMBERSHIP

The present membership (January, 1937) of American Abstract Artists consists of the following names: George McNeil, Jeanne Catles, A. N. Christie, C. R. Holty, Harry Holtzman, Marie Kennedy, Ray Kaiser, W. M. Zogbaum, Ibtam Lassaw, Gertrude Peter Greene, Byron Browne, Rosalind Bengelsdorf, George L. K. Motris, Vaclav Vytlacil, Paul Kelpe, Balcomb Greene, R. D. Turnbull, Frederick J. Whiteman, Jøhn Opper, Albert Swinden, Ilya Bolotowsky, George Cavallon, Leo Lances, Alice Mason, Esphyr Slobodkina, Werner Drewes, Richard Taylor, Josef Albers.

The membership is steadily increasing, so that this list is far from definitive.

ADDRESS

All communications or inquiries should be adressed to

R. D. Turnbull, Secretary

51 West 10th Street,

New York City.

IEW YORK POST. SATURDAY, APRIL 10, 1937

JEROME KLEIN

ABSTRACT ARTISTS MAKE NEW STAND

Thirty-nine Painters and Sculptors Mustered in Group Showing at Squib Building

Put down under "prosperity notes" the revival of abstract art. Well night put to rout by the rush toword social realism in depression years, abstractionists now rally for a new stand. A band of thirty-nine has been mustered for the first exhibition on view in the Squibb Building by the recently organized American Abstract Artists.

Youth predominates and some of the most interesting things are by artists as yet hardly known to the public. I would cite among these the partings of George McNeil, who designs with spontaneity and a real painter's sense of tonal modulation; Hananiah Marari's work, particu-Hannaniah Marari's work, sculpture of the "spare-rib" variety by Iorim Lassaw; Esphyr Slobodkina's canvases, with their involved stage-wing spaces and the work of Harry Bowden and Anne Cohen.

Out of the more seasoned ranks come Louis Schanker's brilliant big figure piece, a handsome bronze by Warren Wheelock, novel constructions of sliced toy ten pins by Vaclav Vytlacil and paintings by Josef Albers, which I count the most mature and original in the ahow.


"ny" " " ... the choice

Schanker Show on View. .

Louis Schanker, having an exhibition of his recent work now at the Artists Gallery, is an American. Though he has had one-man shows before this, he is perhaps best known to the public through his large and widely reproduced mural decoration executed last summer for municipal radio station WNYC.

Schanker is one of the more personal and original of our younger crop of abstract painters. He has a light and witty touch, building his pictures not of rigid geometrical masses but of spontaneous-appearing though carefully conceived calligraphic patterns laid onto fresh and sparkling color. Sometimes the patterns cling closely enough to reality for one to distinguish recognizable forms. At others they're completely abstract, and if they suggest reality at all it's in the movement of things, rather than in their share. (The picture Schanker calls Football 1, is a good example of this.)

But all of his pictures—whether they're primarily linear patterns on color, like Musicians; or Composition III, which has, in its arrangement of its jagge motifs, something of the character of primitive embroideries; or the more solid than usual (for Schanker Composition I—reveal his genuine talent.





Louis Schanker's wood sculpture, Abstracted Man (center) and oil, Three Men on a Bench (right) at the American Abstract Artists' Squibb Building Exhibition, 1937

AMERICAN ABSTRACT ARTISTS

initial arbitration of the Antonican Abstract Artists, held last year at the Soubb Building in New Yerk, on the whole was a bracing and fresh display showing that some excellent work was being done and holding promise for the luture. Discernible influences for the most part came from the right quarters. Not many of the exhibits were too derivative. The second exhibition was held in Pebruary of this year, fortyfour members expasing their work. One perceived in this show that a bealthy development was taking place and considerably more moturity. With their talents ripening, it is not excessionable to expect that contain of the group will continue to make progress and later reveal even aregter accomplishment and invention.

The call have is fartile, not over-cultivated, as in many European countries, and from it we may reasonably expect vigorous and forms eventually to emorge. And/E Gide, writing of the U.S. S. R., and Themas Mann writing of conditions in Germany, have told us of the clight of the artist in countries where intellectual liberty does not enst. Americans therefore should be on their guard acainst the importation of political beliefs and subversive activities which run counter to the doctrines for which America fought the Revolution. The U. S. A., where all races are mingled, where citizens of other countries have brought their national cultures, especially memories of highly significant personnt arts, is favorably situated: Remy de Gourmant states that the "United States if closed to immigration would fall into complete languar," going on to ramind us that "There is not a century since the Elevanth during which French thought has not been revived by some foreign forment" and that "The optional spirit is no more thwarted by these absorptions than the blood of man is vitigted by healthy food." In recent firmes wa know what stimulant French painting received from Neara sculpture

Yet another word may perhaps be permitted with regard to the annuof non-representative painting, not in defense, but is exploration. One recalls the desire of blelussi to live long enough to be able to reduce life to a single tano, a single line. Oriental notions have carely attached any special importance to subject. For the initiated, and the spectors must be able to most the untist half way, the pure enjoyment of painting is often marked by the intrusion of subject-matter, just as scenery and casternes district certain people at the opera. In China scentry at theatrical productions has never been employed except symbolically the most convincing play given in New York this past season was equally benefit of tennery and castumes. The upward blunge of New York's ityscroping buildings so stark and materic, is in most instances impaired by superimpored and travelent Gathic or Romaneque arraments. Lat not the arries be afreid to divest his work of all non-

INTRODUCTION

It is succorraging, as it is gratifying, to learn that the group known as the American Abstract Artists has been successful in arranging an exhibition of work by its members for display in a group of museums throughout the West. Upon the conclusion of this tour it is hoped that it may be possible to show their pointings, sculptures and constructions in certain of the Eastern museums as well.

The execution of this further programme would also be highly desirable, although possibly more difficult of attainment, for most of the Eastern museums are scarcely more than dusty repositories of the art of past ages. Such institutions when they do open their doors to contemporary efforts are usually to coultans and opposed to experimentation and exploration, upon which, Henry James reminds us, art lives, that all genuine creative work is excluded. But it is to be haped that some museums on the Eastern reaboard, less filmorous, will be glad to hang this demonstration of living art, as they have on certain occosions welcomed exhibitions of this character in the past.

There is no doubt that the offerts being made by most of the pointers and sculptors constituting the American Abstract Artists are definitely. pointed in the right direction. No other group concerned with the fine orts in this country is as likely to produce on authentic American cultural expression. Their approach to the problems of pointing is the correct one: they seek plastic qualities in their work and regard all subject-matter as extraneous. Their concern is with the fundamental principles of form, design, construction and the functioning of color. as understood by the areat masters. Giatto, Uccello and El Graca are among their spiritual ancestors, and, more recently, Cézanne and Searat. All belonged to the great main current which flowed down through the ages, become a mere stream in the Ninateenth Contury. with the weakening of the aristadratic tradition, and then received areat Impetur from the innovations of Picesso, Braque, Grip and Leater, who deared away the debris and gave to the world new and imaginative act forms. The stark bones of the great traditions may also be discorned in Mondroin's tasse lines and sectorolies of tundomental color: high points of Twantieth Century pointing.

By completely eliminating all subject-matter, which was a necessity for the Old Masters, historical and documentary recorders of they were for the mest part, and propagandists for the Church, such artists as Mondrian have purified painting, have given us painting for its own take in the same same that music exists for itself along as is it also possible for architecture.

Varity encouraging I find it for the cause of American painting and sculpture to see how this group has developed, not only numerically, but in the quality of the work, and in such a short space of time. The

Art Instructors Hold Exhibition By EMILY GENAUER.

The American Artists School opens its season with an exhibition of work by members of its faculty, numbering among them such wellknown figures as Moses Sover. Philip Evergood, Francis Criss, Maurice Glickman, Abraham Harriton, Walter Quirt, Phillip Reisman, Harry Glassgold and Louis Schanker.

Criss is so well collected that no original canvases by him were available for the exhibition; so photographs of works in the Whitney, Brooklyn and other museums, as well as in distinguished private collections, are shown instead. Glickman, because the majority of his sculptured figures are so large, must also be seen in photographic re-production. But Walter Quirt is there in originals, and these are enough to make any show. Quirt is the daring fellow who attempted to harness that medium of the archaesthetes, surrealism, to something as vivid and real as the class struggle. And succeeded in turning out canvases that, however potent they may be in hastehing the revolution, are excellent works of art.

There is also a first rate canvas by the younger Soyer, a portrait of dignified, meditating girl in a ellow blouse. Louis Schanker, yellow whose rich abstractions we have not seen in some time, is also commendably represented, Schanker seems to have evolved for himself a defi-nite and individual personality and point of view. And that, in a school as circumscribed as abstraction, is no mean achievement. We also liked the finely textured prints by Eugene Moriey and the clean sweep of Harriton's "Tri-Boro Bridge."

SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 1938. Numerous Artists Show

YORK WORLD-TELEGRAM.

Sparks fly at the exhibition of the imerican Abstract Artists, at the fine Art Gallery. But they are indied by visitors' violent reactions to these painted combinations of lines, triangles, cubes and the like, organized into what might be called plastic representations of geometrical formulae, rather than by the intrinsic attributes of the paintings themselves. Because there's not a stray emotion in a carload of them.

None of the works, by their very lature, even attempt to convey the ssence of personal, or human, emotions. Nor do they need to, to retain their status as legitimate works of art. What is more important, few of them provoke (or they didn't, that is, to this observer) any emotional, or sensuous, reaction to their purely plastic harmonies, the rhythms of their masses, colors, or or my things. And Werner Drewes lines.

Two large rooms are filled with work by members of the group, although if you didn't know that, you might easily imagine, after a cursory glance about the walls, that they were tossed off in weak moments by Picasso, Miro, Klee, Kandinsky and others of the European school of abstractionists.

Work Too Derivative.

That seems to be the trouble with American exponents of the prin-ciples of non-objective art. Their work is too palpably derivative and, as imitation, lacks the fire of the original artists who were their inspiration.

Rosalind Bengelsdorf's abstraction is like a late Picasso, with none of the monumental, frenzied force of the Picasso and only its exterior, weakened form. Ilya Bolotawsky's things are so close to Miro the Cataionian could very easily sue the American for plagiarism and win his suit, though Bolotowsky's effort has none of the humor or the extraordinary liveness of Miro's work. Susie Frelinghuysen's "papier colle" paintings would be charming if their source, Picasso, didn't keep getting between picture and spectator,

Some Original Talent.

There are some original talents to be seen in this show, though they are not in every case effective. Paul Kelpe, for instance, appears to be charting his own way, preceding from the point where Gleizes left off. But his separated forms, like segments of pipes and blocks, beautifully drawn and sensitively colored, lack movement. It is as though a juggler were tossing them and a camera caught them in mid-air.

Janet Young, working in colored marbles, secures interesting, original and pleasing results.

American abstractionists whose

works really have a vigorous, creative individuality.

AMERICAN ABSTRACT ARTISTS

cordially invites

to attend the opening of its

Third Annual Exhibition

to be held at the

RIVERSIDE MUSEUM

310 Riverside Drive at 103rd Street, New York City

MARCH

Monday, March 6th, 1939, at 8 P.M.

Abstract Artists Open Annual Exhibition Today

Group's Largest Show Held in **Riverside** Museum

side Drive. The show, which opens in the annual are John Ferren, of to the public today, is the largest in Paris; Durnel Grant, of Springfield, the history of the organization. Ohio, and I. Rice Pereira and A. D. About 250 exhibits—all typical of F. Reinhardt, of New York City. the cubist and post-cubist trend in painting-have been assembled, filling seven galleries and two corridors.

In addition to paintings which Morris, Charles G. Shaw, Albert occupy most of the galleries, two Gallatin, Esphyr Slobodkina, Vaclav rooms at the display are devoted to watercolors, pastels and collages, de-signs composed of colored paper and Kelpe and Ibram Lassaw; other materials pasted and super-imposed upon one another. Another display is of wood block prints, etchings and lithographs in abstract designs, and another of sculpture in wood and metal. Among the more from 1 to 5 p. m. daily through unusual exhibits are abstractions

constructed in relief and painted. including a shadow-box creation with a design of metal and wire construction.

Fifty-three artists are represented by from one to ten exhibits each m Riverside Museum The American Abstract Artists, a group devoted to advanced forms of non-representational painting, sculp-ture and graphic art, opened their third annual exhibition last night at the Riverside Museum, 310 River-bers represented for the first time bers represented for the first time

Others prominent are Susie Frelinghuysen, Joseph Albers, Burgoyne Diller, Werner Drewes, Balcomp Greene, Carl R. Holty, George L. K.

EXHIBITION American Abstract Artists

0

RIVERSIDE MUSEUM, NEW YORK

March 7-26, 1939

1. Joseph Albers	27. Paul Kelpe
2. Rosalind Bengelsdorf	28. Leo Lances
3. Ilya Bolotowsky	29. Ibram Lassaw
4. Harry Bowden	30. Agnes Lyall
5. Byron Browne	31. Alice Mason
6. Jeanne Carles	32. George McNeil
7. Giorgio Cavallon	33. George L. K. Morris
8. A. N. Christie	34. I. Rice Pereira
9. Anna Cohen	35. Margaret Peterson
10. Burgoyne Diller	36. A. D. F. Reinhardt
11. Werner Drewes	37. Ralph M. Rosenborg
12. Herzl Emanuel	38. Louis Schanker
13. John Ferren	39. Charles G. Shaw
14. Susie Frelinghuysen	40. Esphyr Slobodkina
15. A. E. Gallatin	41. David Smith
	42. Florence Swift
16. Fritz Glarner	43. Albert Swinden
17. Durnel Grant	44. R. D. Turnbull
18. Balcomb Greene	45. Vaclav Vytlacil
19. Gertrude Greene	46. Rudolph Weisenborn
20. Hananiah Harari	47. Warren Wheelock
21. Carl R. Holty	48. Frederick Whiteman
22. Harry Holtzman	49. Harry I. Wildenberg
23. Dorothy Joralemon	50. Robert J. Wolff
24. Ray Kaiser	51. Beckford Young
25. Gerome Kamrowski	52. Janet Young
26. Frederick Kann	53. Wilfrid Zogbaum

THE NEW YORK TIMES, SUNDAY, MARCH 12, 1929. ART ABT

IN THE REALM OF ART: ACTIVITIES OF THE WANING WINTER

OUR ANNUAL NON-OBJECTIVE FIELD-DAY Exhibition by American Abstract Artists at the Riverside Museum Raises High-and Controversial-Partisan Claims

- Hall

NE 1.1.16.16

timph of par-ter of establish tableprand of themesian Nr. offen in par-offen in par-

1

N





EVENTS HERE AND THERE

e: X.5

A Benefit for German Refugees-Other Events in New York and Out of Town

2 with A

Processed on other secondly appeals for Forth californian and Partition may af the ort careful will be posed


ART STUDENTS LEAGUE OF NEW YORK Annual Exhibition

of 14 mbert & Ass

> 111.15

PAINTINGS H CT, see an else and the Destruction market is field Societion formula right solar for the right Table , is soundly doing





NATIONAL EXHIBITION

1940

BY the fact of their active existence and production, the American Abstract Artists express the authenticity and autonomy of the modern movement in the United States. The word abstract is incorporated in their title as a provisional gesture, so that they can be identified as a particular group in their effort to clarify growing and actively significant concepts of art.

The members of this group recognize the need for individuals to experiment and deviate at times from what may seem established directions. By means of this organization, individuals working and studying against the odds of isolation can now be articulate and related to others working in similar directions. And by it, too, has been achieved a growing response to abstract art in America. UNTIL comparatively recently it has not been easy to see abstract paintings in America; and to see abstract paintings by American artists was a practical impossibility for the general public. For some time there have been indications that new art-forms were in the course of evolution, as befitted a civilization with a character of its own. The pioneers in the new expression were Europeans, for Europe has long been the center of world-culture. America has only recently asserted itself as a cultural force, and in America to-day there are numerous painters and sculptors who are delving beneath the long-eroded traditions of surface illustration.

There are still large sections of the public that have never seen an abstract painting; many people do not know what it is when they see one. This is quite natural; for generations people have been taught from childhood that works of art were no more than glimpses into nature. Custom and prejudice make it doubly hard to recover the lost properties that made for esthetic sublimity during the great epochs of art-history. The abstract artists have travelled the whole way, they have gone to those furthest beginnings of structure and design which have held the emotions of the artist since the first drawings of the cave-man.

Abstract paintings are a logical beginning. They are not puzzles; they are not difficult to understand; they need only to be looked at, as one might look at a tree or a stone itself, and not as the representation of one. They stand with the independence of architectural shapes, and through very simple means a whole new world is opened.

George L. K. Morris

- 1 JOSEF ALBERS Reverse Frames
- 2 ILYA BOLOTOWSKY Abstraction
- 3 HARRY BOWDEN Still Life
- 4 BYRON BROWNE Head
- 5 GIORGIO CAVALLON Composition
- 6 A. N. CHRISTIE Abstraction
- 7 WERNER DREWES Composition Advancing
- 8 JOHN FERREN Abstraction
- 9 SUSIE FRELINGHUYSEN Abstraction Carmen
- 10 A. E. GALLATIN Composition
- 11 FRITZ GLARNER Composition
- 12 BALCOMB GREENE Angular Primary Construction
- 13 GERTRUDE GREENE Construction
- 14 HANANIAH HARARI Railroad Signals
- 15 CARL R. HOLTY Composition Composition
- 16 HARRY HOLTZMAN Abstraction
- 17 RAY KAISER Composition
- 18 FREDERICK P. KANN Mobile
- 19 PAUL KELPE Composition

- 20 ELEANOR deLAITTRE Reflections Flogting Divide
- 21 IBRAM LASSAW Construction with Light
- 22 AGNES EARL LYALL Abstraction
- 23 GEORGE McNEIL Composition in Black Composition
- 24 ALICE MASON OII OII
- 25 GEORGE L. K. MORRIS Composition Composition 1938
- 26 I. RICE PEREIRA Ascending Scale
- 27 A. D. REINHARDT Abstraction
- 28 RALPH M. ROSENBORG Evening
- 29 LOUIS SCHANKER Composition
- 30 CHARLES G. SHAW In Flight Abstraction
- 31 ESPHYR SLOBODKINA Abstraction Abstraction
- 32 FLORENCE SWIFT Abstraction
- 33 ALBERT SWINDEN Study in Apposition
- 34 R. D. TURNBULL OII 1938
- 35 VACLAV VYTLACIL Still Life
- 36 WARREN WHEELOCK Carving
- 37 HARRY I. WILDENBERG Space Division
- 38 ROBERT J. WOLFF Abstractions


39 MOHOLY-NAGY

Construction 1923

AMERICAN Abstract Artists

JUNE 5-16

PAINTINGS: JOSEF ALBERS ROSALIND BENGELSDORF ILYA BOLOTOWSKY BYRON BROWNE GEORGE CAVALLON A. N. CHRISTIE ANNA COHEN 7 BURGOYNE DILLER WERNER DREWES **10** SUSIE FRELINGHUYSEN 11 A. E. GALLATIN 12 SIDNEY GEIST 13 FRITZ GLARNER 14 BALCOMB GREENE **15 GERTRUDE GREENE** 16 HANANIAH HARARI 17 HARRY HOLTZMAN 18 CARL HOLTY DOROTHY JORALEMON 19 RAY KAISER 20 21 FREDERICK P. KANN 22 PAUL KELPE LEONORE KRASSNER 23 ELEANOR DE LAITTRE 24 25 IBRAM LASSAW 26 HOWARD LESTER 27 AGNES LYALL ALICE MASON 28 29 GEORGE MCNEIL



GUEST ARCHITECTS:

49	GREGORY AIN
50	MARCEL BREUER
51	WALTER GROPIUS
52	FERDINAND KRAMER
53	RICHARD J. NELITRA

AMERICAN FINE ARTS GALLERIES 215 WEST 57TH ST NYC HOURS 10-6 SUN 1-6



"No museum can adequately handle modern art as a side issue"

p. 8 "An Effort to Secure \$3,250,000 April, 1931 THE MUSEUM OF MODERN ART

HOW MODERN is

THE MUSEUM

Lets look at the record

DERT

In 1939 the Museum professed to show ART IN CUR TIME-Whose time Sargent, Homer, Le Funge -14 (Concett?) Or Picaso, Brague, Leger and Mondrian? Which time?

If the descendants of Sargent and Homey, what about the descendants of Picasso and Mondrian? What about American abstract art?

If he had been in America, what dizzy successes for Repin? Even for Meissonier? Or J. L. Gerome? What about Towne and Ward-British cattle paintersturned loose on a Missouri farm's A Minnesota grain elevator painted by Daubigny? Bellows' 'Stag at Sharkey's' done by Henri Regnault? The Nebraska prairies by Eugene Boudin? The Bowery by Eugene Carriere?

And MODERN MASTERS (to counterbalance the Italian Masters, as this feeble demonstration from a great period was advertised) Eskiro, Homer, Rydor, Whistler-died in 1916, 1910, 1917, 1903. Those are the only Americans included. Are they the grandfathers of the Europeans they are shown with? Seurat, Van Gogh, Gauguin, Lautrec-died in 1886, 1890, 1903, 1881. These are the older Europeans represented.

ITALIAN MASTERSI - Caravaggio, Raphael, Bronzinol And such examples! How easy to justify a Praxiteles show! How revolutionary the Egyptians! And an Eighteenth Century JAPANESE!

> Does if mean ALL THE GREAT ART OF ALL TIME? Then why the hundreds of living Americans? Does it mean the POPULAR ART PRODUCED IN OUR TIME? Then why the old masters? Does it mean METROPOLITAN PLUS WHITNEY MUSEUM? Then why a Museum of Modern Art?

> > and now the art of the three alarm fire

Is the Artist a Reporter 🏸 IS The MUSEUM a BUSINESS

WHAT DOES "MODERN" MEAN?

What about the P.M. contest and exhibition? What is journalistic art? Why should this evening tabloid P.M. try to revive it? What is the Museum trying to revive? Will the Museum sponsor the Police Garette? What about Eastman, Leica, and Pathe News7

Why and when does a modern museum depart from presenting "the Art of Today" to promoting the art of yesterday? Why not day-before-yesterday? Why not Resurrections, Adorations and Madannas?

Why not build Pyramids? Why not tear down the Museum and build a pyramid! As big as Radio City! With 100,000 slaves! Think of the publicity!

What is this a three ring

ART DEPT.: Nelson Rockefeller, head of the Museum of Modern Art, Idd a group that the Museum is spending more money than it is receiving-that this was -works on the same principle.

Leonard Lyons MARCH 21. 1940 NEW YORK POST

Shouldn't "modern" conceivably include the "Avan) Garde"? Why not a show of the English Abstractionist ? How about the younger European contains of tele Hartung, Gono, Magnelli, Henon, Eyych mi, Taeuber-Arp, Riemer, Seuphor, Schwab, Nebel, Sima, Max Bill, Stameski, Erni, Tutundjian, Prinner7 What about the hundreds (literally) of modern and non-objective artists in America?

Depletes.

April 15, 1940

American Abstract Artists 13 West 17th Street, New York City.

JOSEF ALBERS HOSALIND RENGELSDORF ILYA BOLOTOWSKY BYRON BROWNE JEANNE CARLES GEORGE CAVALLON A N CHRISTIE ANNA COHEN WERNER DREWES ELEANCE DE LAITTRE HEREL EMANUEL JOHN PERBEN SUBLE FRELINCINUYSEN

A. E. GALLATIN FRITZ GLARNER BALCOMS GREENE GERTRUDE GREENE HANANIAH HARARI HARRY HOLTZMAN CARL HOLTY DOBOTHY JORALEMON RAY KAULER FREDERICK P KANN PAUL KELPE LET LANCES THRAM LASSAW

AGNES LYALL GEORGE MeNEIL ALICE MASON GEORGE L E. MORRIS L MOHOLY NAGY L RICE PERKIRA MARGARET FETERSON RALPH M ROSENBORG A D F REINHARDT LOUIS SCHANEEN CHARLES G SHAW ESPHYS SLOBODEINA DAVID EMITH

FLORENCE SWIFT ALBERT SWINDEN E. O. SCHNIEWIND R D. TURNBULL VACLAV VYTLACIL RUDOLPH WEISENBORN WARREN WHEELOCK FREDERICK WHITEMAN HANRY WILDENREBCI ROBERT IAT WOLFT RECEPCING FOUND IAMET TOOMS W M. EDGIMANM

LOUIS SCHANKER

1903-1981

Though much of my work is generally classified as abstract, all of my work develops from natural forms. I have great respect for the forms of nature and an inherent need to express myself in relation to those forms. No matter how far my experimental design may take me . . . there remains always a core of objective reality which I have no desire to destroy or even to impair but only to investigate, analyze, develop.[1]

LOUIS SCHANKER WAS ONE OF MANY MEMBERS OF THE AMERICAN ABSTRACT ARTISTS who chose to base his art in the objects, patterns, and rhythms of nature. Although never a student of Hans Hofmann, Schanker's ideas about art had many parallels with Hofmann's. Concern for the spatial dynamics of a painting's surface, and an insistence on some aspect of nature as a starting point for art, are two areas that mirror a shared philosophy between the two artists. Although much of Schanker's later work is completely abstract, during the 1930s and 1940s he frequently used direct, identifiable themes---motifs drawn from sports, his early years working for a circus, and even socially conscious subjects not normally employed by abstract artists.[2]

As a youth, Louis Schanker quit school and ran away to join the circus. He put in two years of "interesting but gruelling hard labor."[3] After leaving the circus, he worked as a laborer in the wheatfields of Canada and the Dakotas, as a "gandy dancer" on the Erie Railroad, and as a stevedore on Great Lakes steamers. For almost a year Schanker cast his lot with hobos, riding freight trains throughout the country. In 1919, he put this itinerant life behind him and began attending night classes at Cooper Union. Subsequently, he studied at the Art Students League of New York and the Educational Alliance. Schanker spent 1931 and 1932 in Paris. He took classes at the Académie de la Grande Chaumière and worked on his own, doing plein air landscapes and street scenes. The work of Renoir, Degas, and Signac made a deep impression on the young artist. After he moved to Mallorca in 1933, Schanker began abstracting form to a greater degree and incorporating Cubist devices of uptilted planes and prismatic color in his work.

During the mid 1930s, Schanker began making prints and subsequently became a graphic arts supervisor for the WPA. He also completed murals for radio station WNYC, the Neponsit Beach Hospital in Long Island, and the Science and Health building at the 1939 New York World's Fair. During World War II, Schanker worked as a shipfitter and began teaching the technique of color woodblock printing at the New School for Social Research. In 1949, he became an assistant professor at Bard College, where he remained until his retirement. Throughout the 1930s and 1940s, Schanker exhibited frequently in group shows both in museums and in commercial galleries. He became especially well known for his innovations as a printmaker. Schanker belonged to "The Ten," a group that exhibited together in protest against the hegemony of American scene painting in Whitney exhibitions and in support of artistic experimentation and an international (rather than nativist) outlook in art.[4]

Schanker imbued his prints, paintings, and sculpture with an animated expressionism that aims at a fundamental emotional structure. Sculptures such as Owl, carved in 1937, adroitly convey not only the bird's physical appearance, but the quality of mystery that has made it a symbol of wisdom and secrecy. Three Men, exhibited in the American Abstract Artists' first annual exhibition in 1937, exemplifies the expressive, angular animation for which Schanker's work was frequently praised in press reviews of the 1930s.

1. Louis Schanker, "The Ides of Art: 11 Graphic Artists Write," Tiger's Eye 8 (June 1949): 45.

2. In a letter to the editor of Art News in 1938, an art historian noted the similarities between Schanker's Circus, a WPA mural done for the children's dining room in the Neponsit Beach Hospital and Giovanni Battista Tiepolo's I Saltimbanchi. In his reply, Schanker said that he had chosen his subject matter based on his own experience: "I ran away from school to join the 'big top' and put in two years of interesting but grueling hard labor as a 'canvas-man,' 'animal ostler' and 'property-man' for clowns, acrobats and other performers with one of the best known American circuses. The visual memories that I retain of this period are, needless to say, vivid if not particularly humorous." Louis Schanker, "The Artist Replies," Art News 37 (29 October 1938): 16.

3. Louis Schanker, letter to the editor, Art News 37 (29 October 1938): 16, 21. I am grateful to Joel Schanker, Louis's brother, for relating details about Schanker's life and work in a videotaped interview, 9 June 1988.

4. Other members were Ben-Zion, Ilya Bolotowsky, Louis Harris, Earl Kerkam, Ralph Rosenborg, Joseph Solman, Adolph Gottlieb, and Mark Rothko (then still using the name Marcus Rothkowitz). The group associated for five years and held exhibitions at Montross, Passedoit, and Mercury galleries, and at the Galérie Bonaparte in Paris. For further information about The Ten, see Lucy McCormick Embick, "The Expressionist Current in New York's Avant-Garde: The Paintings of The Ten" (Master's thesis, University of Oregon, 1982)

Source: Virginia M. Mecklenburg. "The Patricia and Phillip Frost Collection: American Abstraction, 1930-1945" (Washington, DC: National Museum of American Art and Smithsonian Institution Press, 1989), pp. 154-158. Copyright 1989 Smithsonian Institution. All rights reserved.